

**UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
SOUTHERN DISTRICT OF OHIO
WESTERN DIVISION AT CINCINNATI**

**Barbara Loder Hildebrandt,
Plaintiff**

-vs-

Case No. 1:02-cv-3

**Hyatt Corporation, et al.,
Defendants**

AMENDED JUDGMENT

- | | | |
|----------|---------------------------|--|
| X | Jury Verdict. | This action came before the Court for a trial by jury. The issues have been tried and the jury has rendered its verdict. |
| X | Decision by Court. | This action came to trial or hearing before the Court. The issues have been tried or heard and a decision has been rendered. |

IT IS ORDERED AND ADJUDGED as follows:

1) On June 28, 2004, the Court ruled on summary judgment as follows: Defendants' motion for summary judgment as to plaintiff's claims against defendant Booth is **GRANTED**. The claims against defendant Booth are **DISMISSED WITH PREJUDICE**. Defendants' motion for summary judgment on plaintiff's breach of public policy claim is **GRANTED** and is **DISMISSED WITH PREJUDICE**. Defendants' motion for summary judgment on plaintiff's age discrimination claims regarding her termination in the reduction-in-force is **DENIED**. Plaintiff's age discrimination claims remain against defendants Hyatt Corporation and Helms and Horne in their individual capacities. Defendants' motion for summary judgment on plaintiff's failure to promote claims is **GRANTED** and is **DISMISSED WITH PREJUDICE**.

2) On August 16, 2004, the jury returned a verdict that plaintiff has not proven her claim of age discrimination against any of the defendants.

Date: August 25, 2004

James Bonini, Clerk

By: s/Mary C. Brown
Mary C. Brown, Deputy Clerk